ELSEVIER



Schizophrenia Research



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/schres

(Attenuated) hallucinations join basic symptoms in a transdiagnostic network cluster analysis

Natalia Jimeno^{a,b,c,*,1}, Javier Gomez-Pilar^{d,e,1}, Jesus Poza^{d,e,f}, Roberto Hornero^{d,e,f}, Kai Vogeley^{g,h}, Eva Meisenzahl^b, Theresa K. Lichtenstein^g, Marlene Rosen^g, Joseph Kambeitz^g, Joachim Klosterkötter^g, Frauke Schultze-Lutter^{b,i,j}

^a Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, University of Valladolid, Valladolid, Spain

^b Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Medical Faculty, Heinrich-Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany

^c GINCYL, Research Group on Clinical Neuroscience of Castile and Leon, Valladolid, Spain

^d Biomedical Engineering Group, University of Valladolid, Valladolid, Spain

^g Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine and University Hospital Cologne, University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany

^h INM3, Institute of Neuroscience and Medicine, Research Center Jülich, Jülich, Germany

ⁱ Department of Psychology, Faculty of Psychology, Airlangga University, Surabaya, Indonesia

^j University Hospital of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: hallucination attenuated psychotic symptom basic symptom modularity analysis psychosis depression

ABSTRACT

Hallucinations are considered characteristic symptoms of psychosis and part of the 'psychosis superspectrum' of the Hierarchical Taxonomy Of Psychopathology (HiTOP) initiative. To gain insight into their psychopathological relevance, we studied their dimensional placement within a single dense transdiagnostic network constituting of basic symptoms as well as of attenuated and frank psychotic, and related symptoms. Newman's modularity analysis was used to detect symptom clusters in an earlier generated network (Jimeno, N., et al., 2020. Main symptomatic treatment targets in suspected and early psychosis: New insights from network analysis. Schizophr. Bull. 46, 884–895. https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbz140). The constituting 86 symptoms were assessed with the Schizophrenia Proneness Instrument, Adult version (SPI-A), the Structured Interview for Psychosis-Risk Syndromes (SIPS), and the Positive And Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) in three adult samples of an early detection service: clinical high-risk (n = 203), first-episode psychosis (n = 153), and major depression (n = 104). Three clusters were detected: "subjective disturbances", "positive symptoms and behaviors", and "negative and anxious-depressive symptoms". The predominately attenuated hallucinations of both SIPS and PANSS joined the basic symptoms in "subjective disturbances", whereas other positive symptoms entered "positive symptoms and behaviors". Our results underline the importance of insight in separating true psychotic hallucinations from other hallucinatory experiences that, albeit phenomenologically similar are still experienced with some insight, i.e., are present in an attenuated form. We conclude that, strictly, hallucinations held with any degree of insight should not be used to diagnose transition to or presence of frank psychoses and, relatedly, to justify antipsychotic medication.

1. Introduction

Transdiagnostic approaches have become increasingly important in psychiatry in order to address problems of arbitrary symptom and disorder boundaries, and the downfalls of traditional categorical diagnoses, by characterizing psychopathology in terms of dimensions rather than categories (such as in the Hierarchical Taxonomy Of Psychopathology (HiTOP) initiative; Kotov et al., 2018) and by developing dimensional constructs that integrate symptoms, observable behaviors and biology, especially genetics and neuroscience (such as in the National Institute of

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2022.02.018

Received 26 May 2021; Received in revised form 31 January 2022; Accepted 13 February 2022 Available online 26 February 2022 0920-9964/© 2022 Published by Elsevier B.V.

e CIBER-BBN, Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red – Bioingeniería, Biomateriales y Nanomedicina, Valladolid, Spain

^f IMUVA, Mathematics Research Institute, University of Valladolid, Valladolid, Spain

^{*} Corresponding author at: Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, University of Valladolid, C/ Ramon y Cajal 7, 47005 Valladolid, Spain. *E-mail address*: natalia.jimeno@uva.es (N. Jimeno).

¹ Indicates shared first authorship.

Mental Health's Research Domain Criteria (RDoC) initiative; Kozak and Cuthbert, 2016). One group of phenomena that, esp. within the RDoC framework, have received special attention for their dimensional character are hallucinations, in particular auditory ones (Ben-Zeev et al., 2020; Ford et al., 2014).

1.1. Insight and the dimensional character of perceptual disturbances

Hallucinations are perceptions in any sensory modality that occur in the absence of corresponding sensory stimuli and, from the affected individual's subjective point of view, are indistinguishable from normal perceptions (Ohayon, 2000; Telles-Correia et al., 2015). Next to delusions and formal thought disorders, hallucinations experienced with full conviction (i.e., with complete lack of insight) are considered as characteristic of psychosis and are one of the three positive symptoms obligatorily required for the diagnosis of any psychotic disorders in both DSM-5 (American Psychiatric Association, 2013) and the up-coming ICD-11 (https://icd.who.int/en). Yet, just as other positive symptoms. hallucinations are no dichotomous events but can occur in attenuated forms or as 'pseudohallucinations', i.e., with various degrees of insight into their abnormal nature (Chapman and Chapman, 1980) (Fig. 1). Furthermore, perceptual aberrations might involve real stimuli that, despite good perception of these, are perceived as something else entirely, in form of illusions or delusional misperceptions (Telles-Correia et al., 2015), or that are perceived with immediate full insight in only a distorted way, in form of (body) perceptive basic symptoms (Jimeno and Vargas, 2018; Schultze-Lutter, 2009) (Fig. 1).

1.2. The transdiagnostic character of perceptual disturbances

Albeit considered a part of the subdimension 'reality distortion' of the 'psychosis superspectrum' of the HiTOP initiative (Kotov et al., 2020), hallucinations were linked to non-schizophrenia-spectrum psychotic disorders by the HiTOP initiative (Kotov et al., 2020).Moreover, hallucinations can appear in other mental or somatic disorders, and as the result of substance use, including medications (Ben-Zeev et al., 2020; Carota and Bogousslavsky, 2019; Coerver and Subramanian, 2020; Ford et al., 2014; Jean et al., 2020; Thakur and Gupta, 2020; Waters and Fernyhough, 2017). Hallucinatory experiences can also occur in hypnagogic or hypnopompic states; and, in these non-pathological forms, are most frequent in the community by far (Ohayon, 2000; Chapman and Chapman, 1980; Carota and Bogousslavsky, 2019).

1.3. Perceptual disturbances in the prediction of psychosis

Furthermore, hallucinations and other perceptual disturbances occur more frequently in children and adolescents compared to adults in both community and clinical samples (Maijer et al., 2019; Schimmelmann et al., 2015; Schultze-Lutter et al., 2017, 2020a, 2020b; Walger et al., 2020). Thus, it was cautioned that, in children and adolescents, the presence of hallucinations does not always justify a psychosis diagnosis (Driver et al., 2020; Maijer et al., 2019; Schultze-Lutter and Schmidt, 2016), not least because they would frequently spontaneously remit (Brink et al., 2020).

In the early detection of psychosis, both transient frank and attenuated hallucinations, including schizotypal unusual perceptual experiences, as well as visual and acoustic basic symptoms are part of current clinical high-risk (CHR) criteria (Schultze-Lutter et al., 2015). CHR criteria have been associated with lower conversion-to-psychosis rates in children and adolescents (Schultze-Lutter et al., 2015). There is indication that, in minors, positive symptoms, including perceptionrelated symptoms, may play a lesser role compared to adults, while the role of negative symptoms may be more pronounced (Fux et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2020). Furthermore, in examinations of the psychosis-predictive value of different attenuated positive symptoms, attenuated hallucinations did not differ in both prevalence and severity between converters and non-converters, independent of age (Zhang et al., 2020). This supports other reports from CHR samples on the low psychosis-predictive potential of attenuated hallucinations by themselves (Niles et al., 2019). Yet, specifically attenuated verbal auditory hallucinations (Niles et al., 2019) and concretization of hallucinatory experiences over time (Marshall et al., 2019) were associated with greater conversion risk, and multimodal hallucinations were linked to more adverse outcome and greater distress (Montagnese et al., 2021).



SPI-A: Schizophrenia Proneness Instrument, Adult version (Schultze-Lutter et al., 2007); SIPS: Structured Interview for Psychosis-Risk Syndromes (McGlashan et al., 2010); PANSS: Positive And Negative Syndrome Scale (Kay et al., 1987).

Fig. 1. The continuum of pathological perceptual aberrations in relation to the level of insight and examples of their operationalizations (Kay et al., 1987; McGlashan et al., 2010; Schultze-Lutter et al., 2007; Schultze-Lutter et al., 2013).

1.4. Perceptual disturbances and their association with other (positive) symptoms

Despite these indications that hallucinatory and other perceptual experiences might be less psychosis-specific compared to aberrations in thought content and processes, they were commonly closely linked to other positive symptoms in network analyses (Dodell-Feder et al., 2019; Fonseca-Pedrero et al., 2020; Moura et al., 2021; van Rooijen et al., 2017, 2018; Esfahlani et al., 2017). To examine this apparent close link between attenuated and frank positive symptoms, we proposed to empirically analyze the clusters formed by cognitive and perceptual basic symptoms as well as by attenuated and frank psychotic symptoms in a single dense network from a large transdiagnostic patient sample of an early detection of psychosis service (Jimeno et al., 2020). In our network, frank and attenuated positive symptoms appeared as strongly interrelated core symptoms. Five partly overlapping symptom subgroups were proposed by inspection of parameters and theoretical reasoning, with positive, negative, and cognitive-disorganized symptoms subgroups, including attenuated and basic symptoms relevant for CHR criteria, forming the core, and (body) perception and affective symptoms subgroups building the periphery (Jimeno et al., 2020).

1.5. Study aims

In order to avoid the subjectivities involved in our earlier visualization-based interpretation of possible network subgroups (Jimeno et al., 2020), we aimed to empirically study this inspection-based subgrouping and, in doing so, the dimensional placement of hallucinations using modularity analysis that examines the network structure by measuring the strength of division of a network into modules or clusters of items (Newman, 2006). Based on our previous results (Jimeno et al., 2020) and in line with the HiTOP's 'psychosis superspectrum', we hypothesized that hallucinations would belong to the same cluster as delusions and disorganized communication, i.e., a similar dimension as the HiTOP subdimension 'thought disorder symptoms' (Kotov et al., 2020).

2. Material and methods

2.1. Participants

The sample consisted of 460 patients of the Cologne Early Recognition and Intervention Centre for mental crises (FETZ; Schultze-Lutter et al., 2009), who had participated in either of two previous studies (Schultze-Lutter et al., 2007b, 2014). Patients belonged to any of the following three diagnostic groups: first-episode psychosis (FEP; n = 153, 33.3%), CHR (n = 203; 44.1%) according to ultra-high risk (UHR) and/ or basic symptom criteria (Schultze-Lutter et al., 2015), and nonpsychotic, non-CHR major-depression episode (MD; n = 104; 22.6%) (Table 1). Patients were between 16 and 40 years-of-age, 65% were males (Table 1). Exclusion criteria of all groups were: presence of a somatic or drug-related condition explaining the mental condition as well as any missing data on any of the three target scales. Additionally, for CHR and MD, lifetime diagnosis of psychosis was an exclusion criterion. Further details on the sample are provided in Jimeno et al. (2020).

All patients provided written informed consent, and both studies were approved by the local Ethical Committee of the Medical Faculty of the University of Cologne.

2.2. Assessments

Participants were assessed for perception-related and other symptoms with three semi-structured clinical interviews: the Schizophrenia Proneness Instrument, Adult version (SPI-A; Schultze-Lutter et al., 2007a) for basic symptoms, the Structured Interview for Psychosis-Risk

Table 1

Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the help-seeking FETZ sample (N = 460). Kruskal-Wallis *H*-tests and χ^2 tests were used to analyze group differences.

0 1	1 0	1 . ,	2		5 0	-	
	Major depression ($n = 104$)	Clinical high risk (CHR) $(n = 203)$	First-episode psychosis (n = 153)	Total sample ^f (N = 460)	χ^2/U	df	p-value
Age in years: mean (SD)	27.5 (7.4)	25.3 (5.6)	26.7 (6.5)	26.2 (6.4)	6.423	2	0.040
Sex: % male	51.0	65.0	74.5	65.0	15.091	2	0.001
Current partnership: % single	64.7	60.5	77.1	67.8	11.751	2	0.003
Marital status: % never married	77.7	88.2	83.0	84.1	5.844	2	0.059
Highest school graduation ^a : %					28.132	4	< 0.001
still in school ^b	7.9	15.2	3.3	9.7			
ISCED 2	31.7	24.8	47.0	33.7			
ISCED 3	60.4	59.9	49.7	56.6			
Current occupation: %					16.754	6	0.010
regular occupation including school	72.5	78.5	59.5	70.8			
unemployed	21.6	19.0	32.7	24.2			
sheltered work place	2.9	1.0	3.9	2.4			
sporadic employment	2.9	1.5	3.9	2.6			
CHR type ^c : %					not appli	cable	
only basic symptom criteria		25.5					
only ultra-high risk criteria		4.9					
both types of CHR criteria		69.5					
Conversion to psychosis ^d , %	6.7	43.6			7.674	1	0.006
SOFAS ^e : mean (SD)	57.6 (17.5)	52.5 (16.9)	49.8 (10.5)	49.1 (15.0)	5.040	2	0.080
Medication at baseline ^f : % any	22.1	37.9	33.9	35.0	9.950	2	0.007
Type of main medication ^g : %					70.053	6	< 0.001
antipsychotic	0	28.1	37.9	25.0			
antidepressant	21.2	8.4	1.3	8.9			
others	1.0	2.0	0.7	1.3			

^a ISCED: International Standard Classification of Education, 2011 revision (http://www.uis.unesco.org/Education/ Pages/international-standard-classification-of-education.aspx). Description of main categories: 2: lower secondary education; 3: upper secondary education.

^b Mainly aiming for ISCED 3.

^c Not including the genetic risk plus functional decline criterion.

- ^d Numbers relate to those with a 48-months follow-up in the respective group (Schultze-Lutter et al., 2014).
- ^e Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale (American Psychiatric Association, 1994).

 $^{\rm f}$ Least medication in Major Depression group. Overall difference of only small effect size (Cramer's V = 0.147).

^g Only n = 2 CHR patients received a combined medication. "Other" medications were mainly of the group of anti-anxiety agents.

Syndromes (SIPS; McGlashan et al., 2010) for attenuated symptoms, and the Positive And Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS; Kay et al., 1987) for frank psychotic symptoms. In order to assess axis-I disorders, including FEP and MD, the German version of the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV (Wittchen et al., 1997) was used. All the assessments were performed by previously trained mental health professionals.

2.3. Data analysis

The underlying network analysis is detailed in Jimeno et al. (2020) and, in brief, described in the Supplementary Information 1. Exceeding our earlier network analyses, modularity analysis was used to detect empirical groups of symptoms (nodes) in the network. Different modularity measures have been designed to measure the strength of division of a network into modules (also called clusters; Zhang et al., 2018). Networks with high modularity have more dense connections between the nodes within clusters as compared with the connections between nodes from different clusters (Fornito et al., 2016).

From the different methods developed to estimate the network modularity while avoiding the subjectivities involved in our earlier visual inspection (Jimeno et al., 2020), we used Newman's modularity (Newman, 2006) as an extended, reproducible and widely validated method (Miyauchi and Kawase, 2016). This eigenvector-based algorithm provides the specific partition of the network by maximizing the modularity function. The logic of this algorithm is based on the search for clusters (sets of nodes) among which the number of connections is significantly lower than those we would find by chance. Particularly, Newman's modularity was selected since it returns results of higher quality than competing methods in shorter running times (Newman, 2006).

3. Results

The modularity analysis identified three clusters including between 21 and 40 symptoms (Table 2, Fig. 2). The largest cluster 1, "subjective disturbances" (SD), included all of the 37 basic symptoms as assessed with the SPI-A, but also attenuated and frank hallucinations as assessed with both the SIPS (P4, "perceptual abnormalities/hallucinations") and the PANSS (P3, "hallucinatory behavior"). Furthermore, "trouble with focus and attention" (SIPS-D3) was included into this cluster that, albeit also rating observed behaviors, has some phenomenological overlap with the B2 to B6 basic symptoms.

Other positive symptoms of both the SIPS (P1-P3, P5, D2) and the PANSS (P1, P5, P6) and, rating the bizarreness of thoughts (PANSS-G9, PANSS-P2, PANSS-N5) and attention (PANSS-G11), entered the smallest cluster 2, "positive symptoms and behaviors" (PS&B) (Table 2, Fig. 2). The other ten symptoms of cluster 2 referred to lack of judgement (PANSS-G8, PANSS-G10, PANSS-G12) and heightened emotions (PANSS-P4, P7, PANSS-G4, PANSS-G14) that often accompany positive psychotic symptoms. These were complemented by SIPS-D1 and PANSS-G5.

Finally, cluster 3, "negative and anxious-depressive symptoms" (N&ADS), with 25 symptoms (Table 2, Fig. 2) contained all but one (PANSS-N5) negative symptoms of both the SIPS and the PANSS, including functional deficits, the remaining general symptoms of these two scales as well as SIPS-D4 that phenomenologically overlaps with PANSS-N4. Thus, the non-negative symptoms refer to affective symptoms (PANSS-G1, PANSS-G2, PANSS-G3, PANSS-G6, SIPS-G2), motor disturbances (PANSS-G7, SIPS-G3), and self-absorbed, autistic behaviors (PANSS-G15).

We termed cluster 1 "subjective disturbances" (SD), cluster 2 "positive symptoms and behaviors" (PS&B), and cluster 3 "negative and anxious-depressive symptoms" (N&ADS).

4. Discussion

Using modularity analysis in a transdiagnostic single dense comprehensive network of 86 symptoms associated with and relevant for (early) psychosis, i.e., frank psychotic symptoms of the PANSS as well as attenuated psychotic, basic and related symptoms assessed with the SIPS and SPI-A, we detected three phenomenologically meaningful clusters: "subjective disturbances" (SD), "positive symptoms and behaviors" (PS&B), and "negative and anxious-depressive symptoms" (N&ADS). These clusters showed considerable overlap with the five earlier inspection-generated subgroups (Jimeno et al., 2020) and clarified some of the formerly inconclusive group memberships. Most importantly, however, the modularity analysis linked (attenuated) hallucinations to SD and not, as expected, to PS&B, thus indicating a clinical significance of these that differs from that of other positive symptoms.

4.1. Comparison of modularity- and inspection-generated symptom groups

Based on inspection of the network and its correlation matrix, and content-related consideration, five symptom subgroups were earlier proposed (Jimeno et al., 2020): (1) "(body) perception disturbances" consisting of perceptive and cenesthetic basic symptoms; (2) "cognitive-disorganized symptoms" with predominately cognitive basic symptoms and disorganized symptoms of both SIPS and PANSS; (3) "positive symptoms" including the most central positive symptoms and symptoms rating bizarreness of thought content; (4) "negative symptoms" containing negative symptoms and functional impairments assessed with both SIPS and PANSS, and adynamic basic symptoms; and (5) "affective symptoms" comprising of disturbances of emotions and rational judgement predominately of the PANSS (Table 2).

The modularity analysis now demonstrated that "affective symptoms" might be better perceived not as a single symptom dimension but, divided into symptoms of heightened-excessive and of anxiousdepressive mood, as accessory symptoms of the clusters PS&B and N&ADS respectively (Table 2). In doing so, PS&B also comprised most symptoms of "positive symptoms", N&ADS those of "negative symptoms". The distinct placement of affective symptoms is further supported by earlier distinctions between an "excitement" and an "emotional distress" factor of the PANSS of that the "excitement" correlated with positive but not negative symptoms (van der Gaag et al., 2006), and by a recent network analysis on patients with schizophrenia-spectrum disorders that placed excitement symptoms close to positive symptoms and emotional-distress items close to negative symptoms (Moura et al., 2021). The placement of anxious-depressive symptoms together with negative symptoms in one cluster is also supported by a recent metaanalysis on non-affective psychosis samples reporting that negative symptoms were positively associated with depressive symptoms, though only with a small effect (Edwards et al., 2019).

The formerly proposed subgroups "(body) perception disturbances" and "cognitive-disorganized symptoms", in particular their mainly constituting basic symptoms and the SIPS-D3 "trouble with focus and attention", collapsed into SD. At the same time, SD included all basic symptoms formerly allocated to other subgroups and (attenuated) hallucinations of both SIPS and PANSS. The placement of "trouble with focus and attention" (SIPS-D3) had earlier been unclear (Jimeno et al., 2020); the results now indicate that it may be better understood as a cognitive-disorganized rather than as a negative symptom. Albeit its partly observation-based rating, its maintained placement with purely subjective cognitive basic symptoms seems justified by the inclusion of also subjectively described concentration, attention and memory problems that overlap phenomenologically with basic symptoms, of the SPI-A section B in particular. This inclusion of self-reported problems in SIPS-D3 might also explain its placement into a cluster different from that of the corresponding PANSS-item "poor attention" (G11) that is

Table 2

Cluster distribution of SPI-A, SIPS and PANSS symptoms according to the modularity analysis in comparison to the earlier inspection-based subgrouping (Jimeno et al., 2020), and frequency and its subgroup severity differences according to Jimeno et al. (2020).^b

Item number	Symptom name	Empirical cluster, number and name	Inspection-based subgroup ^a	% present ^a	Subgroup differences ^a
SPI-A-A1	Impaired tolerance to unusual, unexpected or specific	1, subjective disturbances	negative symptoms	73.9	FEP > CHR =
SPI-A-A2	Impaired tolerance to certain social everyday situations	1, subjective disturbances	negative symptoms	74.5	FEP > CHR >
SPI-A-A3	Impaired tolerance to working under pressure of time or	1, subjective disturbances	negative symptoms	77.1	FEP > CHR >
SPI-A-A4	Changes in mood and emotional responsiveness	1, subjective disturbances	affective symptoms	96.4	MD FEP > CHR =
SPI-A-A5	Decrease in positive emotional responsiveness toward	1, subjective disturbances	affective symptoms	85.1	MD FEP = CHR >
SPI-A-B1	others Inability to divide attention	1, subjective disturbances	cognitive-disorg. symptoms	41.8	MD FEP > CHR >
SPI-A-B2	Feeling overly distracted by stimuli	1, subjective disturbances	cognitive-disorg. symptoms	47.4	MD FEP = CHR >
SPI-A-B3	Difficulties concentrating	1, subjective disturbances	cognitive-disorg. symptoms	90.6	MD FEP > CHR >
SPI-A-B4	Difficulties to hold things in mind for less than half an hour	1, subjective disturbances	cognitive-disorg. symptoms	62.6	MD FEP = CHR >
SPI-A-B5	Slowed-down thinking	1, subjective disturbances	cognitive-disorg. symptoms	62.6	MD FEP = CHR >
SPI-A-B6	Lack of thought energy. Purposive thoughts	1, subjective disturbances	cognitive-disorg. symptoms	64.2	MD FEP > CHR >
SPI-A-C1	Increased indecisiveness with regard to insignificant choices	1, subjective disturbances	cognitive-disorg. symptoms	61.5	$\begin{array}{l} \text{MD} \\ \text{FEP} = \text{CHR} > \end{array}$
SPI-A-C2	between equal alternatives Thought interference	1, subjective disturbances	cognitive-disorg. symptoms	47.6	MD FEP > CHR >
SPI-A-C3	Thought blockages	1, subjective disturbances	cognitive-disorg. symptoms	55.9	$\begin{array}{l} \text{MD} \\ \text{FEP} = \text{CHR} > \end{array}$
SPI-A-C4	Disturbance of receptive speech	1, subjective disturbances	cognitive-disorg. symptoms	54.4	MD FEP = CHR >
SPI-A-C5	Disturbance of expressive speech	1, subjective disturbances	cognitive-disorg. Symptoms	55.2	MD FEP = CHR >
SPI-A-C6	Disturbance of immediate recall	1. subjective disturbances	cognitive-disorg, symptoms	53.8	MD FEP = CHR >
SPI-A-D1	Decreased capacity to discriminate between different kinds of	1. subjective disturbances	cognitive-disorg, symptoms	35.0	MD FEP = CHR >
SDI-A-D2	emotions	1, subjective disturbances	affective symptoms	82.8	MD FED > CHB -
SDI A D2	social interactions	1. subjective disturbances	cognitive disorg symptoms	51.7	MD
CDI A DA		1, subjective disturbances		51.7	MD
SPI-A-D4		1, subjective disturbances		50.4	MD
SPI-A-D5	Changed perception of the face or body of others	1, subjective disturbances	(body) perception disturbances	13.1	FEP > CHR > MD
SPI-A-E1	Bodily sensations of numbness and stiffness	1, subjective disturbances	(body) perception disturbances	15.6	FEP > CHR = MD
SPI-A-E2	Bodily sensations of pain in a distinct area	1, subjective disturbances	(body) perception disturbances	17.5	FEP = CHR > MD
SPI-A-E3	Bodily sensations migrating through the body	1, subjective disturbances	(body) perception disturbances	5.3	FEP = CHR > MD
SPI-A-E4	Bodily sensations of being electrified	1, subjective disturbances	(body) perception disturbances	8.2	FEP = CHR = MD
SPI-A-E5	Bodily sensations of movement or pressure	1, subjective disturbances	(body) perception disturbances	1.1	FEP = CHR > MD
SPI-A-E6	Bodily sensations of body/body parts changing size	1, subjective disturbances	(body) perception disturbances	8.3	FEP = CHR > MD
SPI-A-F1	Hypersensitivity to light/optic stimuli	1, subjective disturbances	(body) perception disturbances	29.8	FEP = CHR > MD
SPI-A-F2	Photopsia	1, subjective disturbances	(body) perception disturbances	10.2	FEP = CHR = MD
SPI-A-F3	Micropsia. Macropsia	1, subjective disturbances	(body) perception disturbances	4.1	FEP = CHR = MD
SPI-A-F4	Hypersensitivity to sounds/noise	1, subjective disturbances	(body) perception disturbances	50.7	FEP = CHR >
SPI-A-F5	Changed intensity/quality of acoustic stimuli	1, subjective disturbances	(body) perception disturbances	33.5	FEP > CHR >
SPI-A-F6	Somatopsychic bodily depersonalization	1, subjective disturbances	(body) perception disturbances	8.1	FEP = CHR >
SPI-A-O1	Thought perseveration	1, subjective disturbances	cognitive-disorg. symptoms	45.6	FEP > CHR >
SPI-A- O4.10	Partial seeing including tubular vision	1, subjective disturbances	(body) perception disturbances	8.1	FEP = CHR > MD

(continued on next page)

Table 2 (continued)

Item number	Symptom name	Empirical cluster, number and name	Inspection-based subgroup ^a	% present ^a	Subgroup differences ^a
SPI-A-O11	Loss of automatic skills	1, subjective disturbances	(body) perception disturbances	17.4	FEP = CHR > MD
SIPS-P4	Perceptual abnormalities/hallucinations	1, subjective disturbances	positive symptoms	51.5	FEP > CHR > MD
SIPS-D3	Trouble with focus and attention	1, subjective disturbances	negative symptoms/cognitive-	54.3	FEP > CHR >
PANSS-	Hallucinatory behavior	1, subjective disturbances	positive symptoms	42.3	FEP > CHR > MD
SIPS-P1	Unusual thought content/delusional ideas	2, positive symptoms and behaviors	positive symptoms	64.1	FEP > CHR >
SIPS-P2	Suspiciousness/persecutory ideas	2, positive symptoms and behaviors	positive symptoms	56.5	FEP > CHR > MD
SIPS-P3	Grandiosity	2, positive symptoms and behaviors	positive symptoms	16.7	FEP > CHR > MD
SIPS-P5	Disorganized communication	2, positive symptoms and behaviors	positive symptoms/cognitive- disorg, symptoms	44.6	FEP > CHR > MD
SIPS-D1	Odd behavior or appearance	2, positive symptoms and behaviors	cognitive-disorg. symptoms	25.1	FEP > CHR > MD
SIPS-D2	Bizarre thinking	2, positive symptoms and behaviors	positive symptoms	29.5	FEP > CHR > MD
PANSS-P1	Delusions	2, positive symptoms and behaviors	positive symptoms	54.1	FEP > CHR > MD
PANSS-P2	Conceptual disorganization	2, positive symptoms and behaviors	positive symptoms/cognitive- disorg. symptoms	42.5	FEP > CHR > MD
PANSS- P4	Excitement	2, positive symptoms and behaviors	affective symptoms	49.6	FEP > CHR > MD
PANSS-P5	Grandiosity	2, positive symptoms and behaviors	positive symptoms	14.1	FEP > CHR > MD
PANSS-P6	Suspiciousness	2, positive symptoms and behaviors	positive symptoms	52.0	FEP > CHR > MD
PANSS-P7	Hostility	2, positive symptoms and behaviors	affective symptoms	22.4	FEP > CHR > MD
PANSS- N5	Difficulty in abstract thinking	2, positive symptoms and behaviors	negative symptoms/cognitive- disorg. symptoms	33.5	FEP > CHR > MD
PANSS-G4	Tension	2, positive symptoms and behaviors	affective symptoms	79.1	FEP > CHR > MD
PANSS- G5	Mannerisms & posturing	2, positive symptoms and behaviors	negative symptoms	15.8	FEP > CHR > MD
PANSS-G8	Uncooperativeness	2, positive symptoms and behaviors	affective symptoms	19.6	FEP > CHR = MD
PANSS-G9	Unusual thought content	2, positive symptoms and behaviors	positive symptoms	33.7	FEP > CHR > MD
PANSS- G10	Disorientation	2, positive symptoms and behaviors	affective symptoms	7.4	FEP > CHR = MD
PANSS- G11	Poor attention	2, positive symptoms and behaviors	cognitive-disorg. symptoms	44.5	FEP > CHR > MD
PANSS- G12	Lack of judgement & insight	2, positive symptoms and behaviors	affective symptoms	27.7	FEP > CHR > MD
PANSS- G14	Poor impulse control	2, positive symptoms and behaviors	affective symptoms	37.5	FEP > CHR = MD
SIPS-N1	Social anhedonia or withdrawal	3, negative and anxious- depressive symptoms	negative symptoms	73.7	FEP > CHR > MD
SIPS-N2	Avolition	3, negative and anxious- depressive symptoms	negative symptoms	76.1	FEP > CHR > MD
SIPS-N3	Decreased expression of emotion	3, negative and anxious- depressive symptoms	negative symptoms	48.6	FEP > CHR > MD
SIPS-N4	Decreased experience of emotions and self	3, negative and anxious- depressive symptoms	negative symptoms	49.6	FEP > CHR > MD
SIPS-N5	Decreased ideational richness	3, negative and anxious- depressive symptoms	negative symptoms/cognitive- disorg. symptoms	39.8	FEP > CHR > MD
SIPS-N6	Deterioration in role functioning	3, negative and anxious- depressive symptoms	negative symptoms	65.6	FEP > CHR > MD
SIPS-D4	Impairment in personal hygiene/social attentiveness	3, negative and anxious- depressive symptoms	negative symptoms/cognitive- disorg. symptoms	36.4	FEP > CHR = MD
SIPS-G1	Sleep disturbance	3, negative and anxious- depressive symptoms	negative symptoms	67.8	FEP > CHR > MD
SIPS-G2	Dysphoric mood	3, negative and anxious- depressive symptoms	affective symptoms	83.3	FEP > CHR > MD
SIPS-G3	Motor disturbances	3, negative and anxious- depressive symptoms	negative symptoms	26.6	FEP > CHR > MD
SIPS-G4	Impaired tolerance to normal stress	3, negative and anxious- depressive symptoms	negative symptoms	62.2	FEP > CHR > MD

(continued on next page)

Table 2 (continued)

Item number	Symptom name	Empirical cluster, number and name	Inspection-based subgroup ^a	% present ^a	Subgroup differences ^a
DANCO	Diserte dis ffest	0 and the and environment		1	FFD . CUD .
PANSS-	Blunted affect	3, negative and anxious-	negative symptoms	46.4	FEP > CHR >
NI		depressive symptoms			MD
PANSS-	Emotional withdrawal	3, negative and anxious-	negative symptoms	49.4	FEP > CHR >
N2		depressive symptoms			MD
PANSS-	Poor rapport	negative and anxious-	negative symptoms	46.1	FEP > CHR >
N3		depressive symptoms			MD
PANSS-	Passive-apathetic social withdrawal	negative and anxious-	negative symptoms	68.9	FEP > CHR >
N4		depressive symptoms			MD
PANSS-	Lack of spontaneity & flow of conversation	3, negative and anxious-	negative symptoms	40.0	FEP > CHR >
N6		depressive symptoms			MD
PANSS-	Stereotyped thinking	3, negative and anxious-	negative symptoms	41.6	FEP > CHR >
N7		depressive symptoms			MD
PANSS-G1	Somatic concern	3, negative and anxious-	affective symptoms	45.0	FEP > CHR >
		depressive symptoms			MD
PANSS-G2	Anxiety	3, negative and anxious-	affective symptoms	59.8	FEP > CHR >
		depressive symptoms	5 I		MD
PANSS-G3	Guilt feelings	3. negative and anxious-	affective symptoms	37.8	FEP > CHR =
		depressive symptoms	, in the second s		MD
PANSS-G6	Depression	3. negative and anxious-	affective symptoms	80.8	FEP > CHR =
		depressive symptoms			MD
PANSS-G7	Motor retardation	3 negative and anxious-	negative symptoms	42.7	FFP > CHR -
111100 07	Notor realidation	depressive symptoms	negative symptoms	12.7	MD
DANCS	Disturbance of volition	3 pegative and anxious	negative symptoms	26.6	EED \ CHD \
C12	Distuibance of volition	doprossive sumptoms	negative symptoms	20.0	MD
DANCE	Dresservestion	appressive symptoms	offective compteme	45.7	
PANSS-	Preoccupation	3, negative and anxious-	anective symptoms	43.7	FEP > CHK >
G15	A	depressive symptoms		50.0	MD CUD
PANSS-	Active social avoidance	3, negative and anxious-	negative symptoms	53.9	FEP > CHR >
G16		depressive symptoms			MD

^a According to Jimeno et al. (2020). Subgroup comparison of item frequency (% of SIPS or SPI-A item \geq 1 and PANSS-item \geq 2, resp.) between first-episode psychosis (FEP), clinical high risk (CHR) and major depression (MD) was done using Kruskal- Wallis *H*-test and post-hoc Mann-Whitney *U* test (two-tailed *alpha* > 0.05). ^b Cells given in bold indicate cluster membership that completely differs from the earlier assumed inspection-based subgroup membership.

completely based on observed manifestations during the course of interview. Subjective awareness and insight might also play a role in the unexpected placement of the two hallucination items of SIPS (P4) and PANSS (P3) that were scored at a level of frank psychotic hallucinations (Fig. 1) in only 17.6% (SIPS-P4 score of '6') and 18.8% (PANSS-P3 score of '4' or higher) of the sample, respectively. Thus, the majority of abnormal perceptions in the total sample (48.2% and 40.3%, resp.) were at the levels of basic or attenuated psychotic symptoms (Fig. 1) that indicate the maintenance of at least some insight into their abnormal nature and, thus, their immediate or deferred self-perception as disturbances (see 4.2 for further discussion of the significance of hallucinatory experiences).

As regards the other five symptoms whose placement into one of the five inspection-based subgroups had not been entirely clear (Jimeno et al., 2020), the placement into one of the considered groups became also clearer (Table 2). Disorganized communication (SIPS-P5, PANSS-P2), "decreased ideational richness" (SIPS-N5), and "impairments in personal hygiene and social attentiveness" (SIPS-D4) might be better understood as positive and negative symptoms, respectively, rather than cognitive-disorganized symptoms. In case of "difficulty in abstract thinking" (PANSS-N5) that had been considered either as a negative (Kay et al., 1987) or a cognitive-disorganized symptom (Vignapiano et al., 2019; Wallwork et al., 2012), with PS&B, a completely different cluster was suggested. This placement, however, is in line with the close connection between difficulty in abstract thinking and disorganization of the PANSS reported for male patients with schizophrenia-spectrum disorders (van Rooijen et al., 2018), the close association between disorganized and cognitive-perceptual symptoms in a study of schizotypal personality traits in the general population (Dodell-Feder et al., 2019) and between PANSS positive and disorganization total scores in first-episode psychosis and schizophrenia (Chang et al., 2019; Galderisi et al., 2020). However, its placement is challenged by a recent network analysis including neurocognitive and PANSS measures (Moura et al., 2021) in which "difficulty in abstract thinking" (PANSS-N5) was the only PANSS item integrated in the neurocognitive domain.

4.2. Clinical significance of (attenuated) hallucinations

The clinical and diagnostic significance of perceptual aberrations, in particular of hallucinatory experiences, for psychosis has been challenged by their association with age and developmental stage in both clinical and community samples (Maijer et al., 2019; Brink et al., 2020; Schimmelmann et al., 2015; Schultze-Lutter and Schmidt, 2016; Schultze-Lutter et al., 2017, 2020a, 2020b; Walger et al., 2020), their occurrence in a broad range of other mental and somatic disorders (Carota and Bogousslavsky, 2019; Coerver and Subramanian, 2020; Jean et al., 2020; Thakur and Gupta, 2020; Waters and Fernyhough, 2017) and their unclear psychosis-predictive value (Zhang et al., 2020; Niles et al., 2019; Marshall et al., 2019). It was suggested that hallucinations might better be regarded as a common endpoint of multiple processes and that, by assessing hallucinations in different modalities together as one, as done in SIPS and PANSS, meaningful differences in etiology and phenomenology may be ignored (Pienkos et al., 2019). These differences in perceptual aberrations do not only involve differences in affected sensory modalities or in stages on one common dimension, such as suggested by the severity ratings of SIPS and PANSS ranging from changes in perceptual sensitivity via perceptual distortions and pseudo- or attenuated hallucinations to frank psychotic hallucinations (Fig. 2). Rather, next to variations in genetic and neurophysiological processes, differences in accompanying cognitions and appraisals, in characteristics of the experience such as loudness in auditory hallucinations, in insight and in environmental factors (such as adversity and trauma) seem to result in truly different perceptual experiences with likely different levels of need for care, whose complexity should better be assessed differentially (Pienkos et al., 2019; Ben-Zeev et al., 2020). The view of multi- rather than one-dimensionality is supported by early studies on basic symptoms that failed to show a particular association between early perceptive basic symptoms and subsequent hallucinations (Klosterkötter, 1992; Klosterkötter et al., 2001). Rather, both cognitive and perceptive, mainly visual basic symptoms were predictive of positive symptoms - delusions, formal



Fig. 2. Modularity analysis of the network with SPI-A, SIPS, and PANSS items as nodes, i.e., of basic, attenuated psychotic and frank psychotic symptoms respectively (N = 460).

Border color of nodes represent scale membership: SPI-A items (red border), SIPS items (yellow border), and PANSS items (blue border), with numbers in nodes indicating item number in the respective scale (see also Table 2). Fill color of nodes indicate cluster membership: cluster 1 (purple filling), cluster 2 (pink filling), and cluster 3 (white filling). The lines' type represents the direction of correlation between two nodes with continuous line indicating positive and dotted lines indicating negative correlations. The lines' thickness represents the correlation between the two connected nodes with thicker line indicating higher correlation. The correlation matrix, on which this figure is based on, can be inspected at http://www.gib.tel.uva.es/NetworkAnalysis-SchRes/Network_Clusters_wBorders_600dpis.png (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

thought disorder and hallucinations alike (Klosterkötter, 1992; Klosterkötter et al., 2001). This is supported in our network by the proximity of (attenuated) hallucinations to both cognitive and perceptive basic symptoms (especially, "thought interference", SPI-A-C2; "thought pressure", SPI-A-D3; "unstable ideas of reference", SPI-A-D4; "hypersensitivity to sounds/noise", SPI-A-F4; "changed intensity/quality of acoustic stimuli", SPI-A-F5; and "thought perseveration", SPI-A-O1).

Loss of insight is not explicitly stated in the definition of psychotic hallucinations in DSM-5 that briefly, defines them as vivid and clear perception-like experiences that, in the context of a clear sensorium, occur without voluntary control and an external stimulus but with the full force and impact of normal perceptions, although the latter implies complete loss of insight. Yet, oftentimes, formed hallucinations, in particular hearing voices, are considered already as "psychotic" when some insight is still maintained, e.g., in the transition criteria of the Comprehensive Assessment of At-Risk Mental States (CAARMS, Yung et al., 2006), an alternative instrument for the assessment of UHR criteria. The CAARMS regards hallucinations that can still be questioned, though "with effort", already as "true hallucinations" at a "psychotic but not severe" level (p. 6; Yung et al., 2006) and, indicative of conversion to psychosis if they occur with the required frequency and duration. This preference of the phenomenon over the related loss of insight into its abnormal nature is out of line with the evaluation of unusual thought contents, in which loss of insight "(no doubt)" is the leading criterion for a rating as "psychotic" (p.2 and 4; Yung et al.,

2006). The placement of the mostly attenuated hallucinations together with clearly non-psychotic, subthreshold basic symptoms in our network model now further supports the outlined doubts about the clinical and diagnostic significance of hallucinatory experiences for psychosis and questions the CAARMS's use of still attenuated hallucinations in the definition of a conversion to psychosis. As stated above, most of the hallucinations were still in an attenuated form with at least some insight maintained (McGlashan et al., 2010). A recent metanalysis on insight as assessed by the Beck Cognitive Insight Scale (BCIS; Beck et al., 2004) in UHR samples, mainly by attenuated psychotic symptoms (Dondé et al., 2021) confirmed the assumed definition-inherent widely maintained insight in attenuated positive symptoms. It found that, in comparison to healthy controls, UHR subjects did not display significantly abnormal self-reflectiveness (i.e., a widely maintained ability to re-evaluate unusual perceptual experiences and correct erroneous inference) or deficits in overall cognitive insight abilities (i.e., a largely maintained general ability to question and reconsider own beliefs and judgements) but only a significantly abnormal self-certainty (i.e., a higher tendency to be overconfident about own judgements, which is more relevant to delusional beliefs). Thus, emphasizing the role of insight/subjectivism in the clinical significance of hallucinatory experiences (Pienkos et al., 2019), future studies should distinguish between hallucinations with and without some insight that should also be specifically assessed, e.g., with the BCIS, and examine whether frankly psychotic hallucinations link to other psychotic symptoms and behaviors, while attenuated

Schizophrenia Research 243 (2022) 43-54

hallucinations link to subjective disturbances such as basic symptoms. In case of this, hallucinations experienced with some insight should not be rated and treated as a symptom of frank psychosis but rather as a potential risk symptom, even when formed and distressing.

Furthermore, acoustic, in particular verbal hallucinations are considered most common in schizophrenia and related disorders (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). A potential more central role of acoustic abnormalities is supported by the more central role of acoustic basic symptoms ("hypersensitivity to sounds/noise", SPI-A-F4; "changed intensity/quality of acoustic stimuli", SPI-A-F5) compared to visual and proprioceptive basic symptoms in our network. Whether a similar distinction is also present at the level of attenuated and frank hallucinations should also be examined in studies using a more differentiated assessment of these. Furthermore, the effect of age should be considered in these studies to account for the higher prevalence but lesser clinical significance of (attenuated) hallucinations in children and adolescents, and the lesser dominance of auditory hallucinations in early-onset psychosis (Driver et al., 2020; Maijer et al., 2019; Schultze-Lutter and Schmidt, 2016).

4.3. Strengths and limitations

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study on clusters of a comprehensive set of symptoms relevant to early psychosis using modularity analysis in a large transdiagnostic patient sample attending an early detection and intervention service. Thereby, the use of the SPI-A allowed for the differential assessment of perceptual abnormalities of different modalities, while (attenuated) hallucinations were only assessed in a single item of each SIPS and PANSS. Next to its reported strengths, our study has some limitations: One is the high amount of missing data for eight criteria-relevant perceptive basic symptoms that, therefore, could not be included in the analysis. Yet, earlier studies on basic symptom dimensions consistently linked these to the other perceptive basic symptoms (Klosterkotter et al., 1996; Schultze-Lutter et al., 2012, 2008). Based on the very good results of previous stability analyses (see Jimeno et al., 2020 for details) and the cut-off value selected for the network edges (i.e., 0.5), we are fairly confident that their inclusion would likely not have altered the global network structure and, therefore, the clusters reported here should be similar in another population or with a similar set of items. The second limitation is the cross-sectional design of the study that does not allow to draw causal conclusion with regard to symptom development, in particular in the CHR group (Sandini et al., 2021), or changes related to treatment (Esfahlani et al., 2017). These should be studied in future longitudinal studies using a similarly broad range of symptom measures.

5. Conclusions

Modularity analysis of 86 basic, attenuated and frank psychotic symptoms in patients of an early recognition and intervention for psychosis service revealed three different clusters: "subjective disturbances", "positive symptoms and behaviors", and "negative and anxious-depressive symptoms". Thereby, the predominately attenuated hallucinations were associated with the basic symptoms in "subjective disturbances" rather than with other positive psychotic symptoms and related affects and behaviors. For the symptom-inherent differences in insight, this finding may underline the importance of insight in separating true, frank psychotic hallucinations from other hallucinatory experiences that, albeit being phenomenologically almost equal are still experienced with at least some insight. We conclude that, strictly, hallucinations held with any degree of insight should not be used to diagnose transition to or presence of frank psychoses and, relatedly, to justify antipsychotic medication. A detailed assessment of perceptual aberrations with regard not only to insight but also to sensory modality is essential in both research and the clinic.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.

org/10.1016/j.schres.2022.02.018.

Role of funding sources

This work was supported by independent grants from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (grant IDs KL970/3-1 and KL970/3-2 to JKl and FSL), and from the Koeln Fortune Program/Faculty of Medicine of the University of Cologne (project IDs 8/2005 and 27/2006 to FSL). It was also supported by the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovacion y Universidades and Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER) (project IDs PGC2018-098214-A-I00 to JP and DPI2017-84280-R to RH). JKa received funding by the European Union under the 7th Framework Program (grant agreement n° 602152), the German Research Foundation (DFG, grant number KA 4413/1-1) and received honoraria by Otsuka, Lundbeck and Janssen.

The funding sources were not involved in the study design; in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the article for publication.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

JKl and FSL obtained funding. NJ and FSL conceived of the study. JGP, JP, and RH analyzed the data. NJ, FSL, KV, EM, TKL, JKa, and MR interpreted the data analyses. NJ wrote the first draft of the manuscript, revised by FSL, KV, JGP, JP and RH. All authors approved of the submission version.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors confirm that they do not have any conflicts of interest that could inappropriately bias their work.

Acknowledgments

None.

References

- American Psychiatric Association, 1994. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth edition. DSM-IV. American Psychiatric Association, Washington, DC.
- American Psychiatric Association, 2013. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fifth edition. DSM-5. American Psychiatric Association, Arlington, VA.
- Beck, A.T., Baruch, E., Balter, J.M., Steer, R.A., Warman, D.M., 2004. A new instrument for measuring insight: The Beck Cognitive Insight Scale. Schizophr. Res. 68, 319–329. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0920-9964(03)00189-0.
- Ben-Zeev, D., Buck, B., Chander, A., Brian, R., Wang, W., Atkins, D., Brenner, C.J., Cohen, T., Campbell, A., Munson, J., 2020. Mobile RDoC: using smartphones to understand the relationship between auditory verbal hallucinations and need for care. Schizophr. Bull. Open 1. https://doi.org/10.1093/schizbullopen/SGAA060.
- Brink, V., van Driel, C., el Bouhaddani, S., Wardenaar, K.J., van Domburgh, L., Schaefer, B., van Beilen, M., Bartels-Velthuis, A.A., Veling, W., 2020. Spontaneous discontinuation of distressing auditory verbal hallucinations in a school-based sample of adolescents: a longitudinal study. Eur. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry 29, 777–790. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-019-01393-7.
- Carota, A., Bogousslavsky, J., 2019. Neurology versus psychiatry? Hallucinations, delusions, and confabulations. Front. Neurol. Neurosci. https://doi.org/10.1159/ 000494960.
- Chang, W.C., Wong, C.S.M., Or, P.C.F., Chu, A.O.K., Hui, C.L.M., Chan, S.K.W., Lee, E.M. H., Suen, Y.N., Chen, E.Y.H., 2019. Inter-relationships among psychopathology, premorbid adjustment, cognition and psychosocial functioning in first-episode psychosis: a network analysis approach. Psychol. Med. 2–3 https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0033291719002113.
- Chapman, L.J., Chapman, J.P., 1980. Scales for rating psychotic and psychotic-like experiences as continua. Schizophr. Bull. 6, 477–489.
- Coerver, K.A., Subramanian, P.S., 2020. Visual hallucinations in psychiatric, neurologic, and ophthalmologic disease. Curr. Opin. Ophthalmol. 31, 475–482. https://doi.org/ 10.1097/ICU.000000000000701.
- Dodell-Feder, D., Saxena, A., Rutter, L., Germine, L., 2019. The network structure of schizotypal personality traits in a population-based sample. Schizophr. Res. 208, 258–267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2019.01.046.
- Dondé, C., Laprévote, V., Lavallé, L., Haesebaert, F., Fakra, E., Brunelin, J., 2021. Cognitive insight in individuals with an at-risk mental state for psychosis: a meta-

Schizophrenia Research 243 (2022) 43-54

analysis. Early Interv. Psychiatry 15 (3), 449-456. https://doi.org/10.1111/eip.12993.

- Driver, D.I., Thomas, S., Gogtay, N., Rapoport, J.L., 2020. Childhood-onset schizophrenia and early-onset schizophrenia spectrum disorders: an update. Child Adolesc. Psychiatr. Clin. N. Am. 29, 71–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chc.2019.08.017.
- Edwards, C.J., Garety, P., Hardy, A., 2019. The relationship between depressive symptoms and negative symptoms in people with non-affective psychosis: a metaanalysis. Psychol. Med. 49, 2486–2498. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0033291719002381.
- Esfahlani, F.Z., Sayama, H., Visser, K.F., Strauss, G.P., 2017. Sensitivity of the positive and negative syndrome scale (PANSS) in detecting treatment effects via network analysis. Innov. Clin. Neurosci. 14, 59–67.
- Fonseca-Pedrero, E., Ortuño-Sierra, J., Inchausti, F., Rodríguez-Testal, J.F., Debbané, M., 2020. Beyond clinical high-risk state for psychosis: The network structure of multidimensional psychosis liability in adolescents. Front. Psychiatry 10, 967. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00967.
- Ford, J.M., Morris, S.E., Hoffman, R.E., Sommer, I., Waters, F., McCarthy-Jones, S., Thoma, R.J., Turner, J.A., Keedy, S.K., Badcock, J.C., Cuthbert, B.N., 2014. Studying hallucinations within the NIMH RDoC framework. Schizophr. Bull. 40 (Suppl. 4), S295–S304. https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbu011.
- Fornito, A., Zalesky, A., Bullmore, E.T., 2016. Chapter 9 modularity. In: Fundamentals of Brain Network Analysis. Academic Press, pp. 303–354. https://doi.org/10.1016/ B978-0-12-407908-3.00009-1.
- Fux, L., Walger, P., Schimmelmann, B.G., Schultze-Lutter, F., 2013. The Schizophrenia Proneness Instrument, Child and Youth version (SPI-CY): Practicability and discriminative validity. Schizophr. Res. 146, 69–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. schres.2013.02.014.
- van der Gaag, M., Hoffman, T., Remijsen, M., Hijman, R., de Haan, L., van Meijel, B., van Harten, P.N., Valmaggia, L., de Hert, M., Cuijpers, A., Wiersma, D., 2006. The fivefactor model of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale II: a ten-fold crossvalidation of a revised model. Schizophr. Res. 85, 280–287. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.schres.2006.03.021.
- Galderisi, S., Rucci, P., Mucci, A., Rossi, A., Rocca, P., Bertolino, A., Aguglia, E., Amore, M., Bellomo, A., Bozzatello, P., Bucci, P., Carpiniello, B., Collantoni, E., Cuomo, A., Dell'osso, L., Di Fabio, F., Di Giannantonio, M., Gibertoni, D., Giordano, G.M., Marchesi, C., Monteleone, P., Oldani, L., Pompili, M., Roncone, R., Rossi, R., Siracusano, A., Vita, A., Zeppegno, P., Maj, M., Catapano, F., Piegari, G., Aiello, C., Brando, F., Giuliani, L., Pietrafesa, D., Papalino, M., Mercadante, G., Di Palo, P., Barlati, S., Deste, G., Valsecchi, P., Pinna, F., Olivieri, B., Manca, D., Signorelli, M.S., Poli, L.F., De Berardis, D., Fraticelli, S., Corbo, M., Pallanti, S., Altamura, M., Carnevale, R., Malerba, S., Calcagno, P., Zampogna, D., Corso, A., Giusti, L., Salza, A., Ussorio, D., Talevi, D., Socci, V., Pacitti, F., de Bartolomeis, A., Gramaglia, C., Gambaro, E., Gattoni, E., Favaro, A., Tenconi, E., Meneguzzo, P., Tonna, M., Ossola, P., Gerra, M.L., Carmassi, C., Cremone, I., Carpita, B., Girardi, N., Frascarelli, M., Buzzanca, A., Brugnoli, R., Comparelli, A., Corigliano, V., Di Lorenzo, G., Niolu, C., Ribolsi, M., Corrivetti, G., Cascino, G., Del Buono, G., Bolognesi, S., Fagiolini, A., Goracci, A., Bellino, S., Montemagni, C., Brasso, C., 2020. The interplay among psychopathology, personal resources, context-related factors and real-life functioning in schizophrenia: stability in relationships after 4 years and differences in network structure between recovered and non-recovered patients. World Psychiatry 19, 81-91. https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20700.
- Jean, Y.K., Gitlin, M.C., Reynolds, J., Candiotti, K.A., 2020. Tramadol-associated hallucinations: a systematic review and narrative synthesis of their pathophysiology, diagnosis, and treatment. Can. J. Anesth. 67, 360–368. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s12630-019-01548-9.
- Jimeno, N., Vargas, M.L., 2018. Cenesthopathy and subjective cognitive complaints: an exploratory study in schizophrenia. Psychopathology 51, 47–56. https://doi.org/ 10.1159/000486204.
- Jimeno, N., Gómez-Pilar, J., Poza, J., Hornero, R., Vogeley, K., Meisenzahl, E., Haidl, T., Rosen, M., Klosterkötter, J., Schultze-Lutter, F., 2020. Main symptomatic treatment targets in suspected and early psychosis: new insights from network analysis. Schizophr. Bull. 46, 884–895. https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbz140.
- Kay, S., Fiszbein, A., Opler, L., 1987. The Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale (PANSS) for schizophrenia. Schizophr. Bull. 13, 261–276.
- Klosterkötter, J., 1992. The meaning of basic symptoms for the genesis of the schizophrenic nuclear syndrome. Jpn. J. Psychiatry Neurol. 46, 609–630.
- Klosterkotter, J., Ebel, H., Schultze-Lutter, F., Steinmeyer, E.M., 1996. Diagnostic validity of basic symptoms. Eur. Arch. Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci. 246, 147–154. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02189116.
- Klosterkötter, J., Hellmich, M., Steinmeyer, E.M., Schultze-Lutter, F., 2001. Diagnosing schizophrenia in the initial prodromal phase. Arch. Gen. Psychiatry 58, 158–164.
- Kotov, R., Krueger, R.F., Watson, D., 2018. A paradigm shift in psychiatric classification: the Hierarchical Taxonomy Of Psychopathology (HiTOP). World Psychiatry 17, 24–25. https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20478.
- Kotov, R., Jonas, K.G., Carpenter, W.T., Dretsch, M.N., Eaton, N.R., Forbes, M.K., Forbush, K.T., Hobbs, K., Reininghaus, U., Slade, T., South, S.C., Sunderland, M., Waszczuk, M.A., Widiger, T.A., Wright, A.G.C., Zald, D.H., Krueger, R.F., Watson, D., 2020. Validity and utility of Hierarchical Taxonomy of Psychopathology (HiTOP): I. Psychosis superspectrum. World Psychiatry 19, 151–172. https://doi.org/10.1002/ wps.20730.
- Kozak, M.J., Cuthbert, B.N., 2016. The NIMH Research Domain Criteria initiative: background, issues, and pragmatics. Psychophysiology 53, 286–297. https://doi. org/10.1111/psyp.12518.
- Maijer, K., Hayward, M., Fernyhough, C., Calkins, M.E., Debbané, M., Jardri, R., Kelleher, I., Raballo, A., Rammou, A., Scott, J.G., Shinn, A.K., Steenhuis, L.A., Wolf, D.H., Bartels-Velthuis, A.A., 2019. Hallucinations in children and adolescents:

an updated review and practical recommendations for clinicians. Schizophr. Bull. 45, S5–S23. https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sby119.

- Marshall, C., Lu, Y., Lyngberg, K., Deighton, S., Cadenhead, K.S., Cannon, T.D., Cornblatt, B.A., McGlashan, T.H., Perkins, D.O., Seidman, L.J., Tsuang, M.T., Walker, E.F., Woods, S.W., Bearden, C.E., Mathalon, D., Addington, J., 2019. Changes in symptom content from a clinical high-risk state to conversion to psychosis. Early Interv. Psychiatry 13, 257–263. https://doi.org/10.1111/ eip.12473.
- McGlashan, T., Walsh, B., Woods, S., 2010. The Psychosis-risk Syndrome. Handbook for Diagnosis and Follow-up. Oxford University Press, New York, NY.
- Miyauchi, A., Kawase, Y., 2016. Z-Score-based modularity for community detection in networks. PLoS One 11, e0147805. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0147805.
- Montagnese, M., Leptourgos, P., Fernyhough, C., Waters, F., Larøi, F., Jardri, R., McCarthy-Jones, S., Thomas, N., Dudley, R., Taylor, J.P., Collerton, D., Urwyler, P., 2021. A review of multimodal hallucinations: categorization, assessment, theoretical perspectives, and clinical recommendations. Schizophr. Bull. 47, 237–248. https:// doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbaa101.
- Moura, B.M., Van Rooijen, G., Schirmbeck, F., Wigman, H., Madeira, L., Van Harten, P., Van Os, J., Roberto Bakker, P., Marcelis, M., Risk, Genetic, Outcome of Psychosis (GROUP) investigators, 2021. A network of psychopathological, cognitive, and motor ysmptoms in schizophrenia spectrum disorders. Schizophr. Bull. 47, 915–926. https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbab002.
- Newman, M.E.J., 2006. Modularity and community structure in networks. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 103, 8577–8582. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0601602103.
- Niles, H.F., Walsh, B.C., Woods, S.W., Powers, A.R., 2019. Does hallucination perceptual modality impact psychosis risk? Acta Psychiatr. Scand. 140, 360–370. https://doi. org/10.1111/acps.13078.
- Ohayon, M.M., 2000. Prevalence of hallucinations and their pathological associations in the general population. Psychiatry Res. 97, 153–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0165-1781(00)00227-4.
- Pienkos, E., Giersch, A., Hansen, M., Humpston, C., McCarthy-Jones, S., Mishara, A., Nelson, B., Park, S., Raballo, A., Sharma, R., Thomas, N., Rosen, C., 2019. Hallucinations beyond voices: A conceptual review of the phenomenology of altered perception in psychosis. Schizophr. Bull. 45, S67–S77. https://doi.org/10.1093/ schbul/sby057.
- van Rooijen, G., Isvoranu, A.M., Meijer, C.J., van Borkulo, C.D., Ruhé, H.G., de Haan, L., 2017. A symptom network structure of the psychosis spectrum. Schizophr. Res. 189, 75–83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.schres.2017.02.018.
- van Rooijen, G., Isvoranu, A.M., Kruijt, O.H., van Borkulo, C.D., Meijer, C.J., Wigman, J. T.W., Ruhé, H.G., de Haan, L., Bruggeman, R., Cahn, W., de Haan, L., Kahn, R.S., Meijer, C.J., Myin-Germeys, I., van Os, J., Bartels-Velthuis, A.A., 2018. A stateindependent network of depressive, negative and positive symptoms in male patients with schizophrenia spectrum disorders. Schizophr. Res. 193, 232–239. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.schres.2017.07.035.
- Sandini, C., Zöller, D., Schneider, M., Tarun, A., Armondo, M., Nelson, B., Amminger, P. G., Yuen, H.P., Markulev, C., Schäffer, M.R., Mossaheb, N., Schlögelhofer, M., Smesny, S., Hickie, I.B., Berger, G.E., Chen, E.Y., De Haan, L., Nieman, D.H., Nordentoft, M., Riecher-Rössler, A., Verma, S., Thompson, A., Yung, A.R., McGorry, P.D., Van De Ville, D., Eliez, S., 2021. Characterization and prediction of clinical pathways of vulnerability to psychosis through graph signal processing. Elife 10. https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.59811.
- Schimmelmann, B.G., Michel, C., Martz-Irngartinger, A., Linder, C., Schultze-Lutter, F., 2015. Age matters in the prevalence and clinical significance of ultra-high-risk for psychosis symptoms and criteria in the general population: findings from the BEAR and BEARS-Kid studies. World Psychiatry 14, 189–197. https://doi.org/10.1002/ wps.20216.
- Schultze-Lutter, F., 2009. Subjective symptoms of schizophrenia in research and the clinic: The basic symptom concept. Schizophr. Bull. 35, 5–8. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/schbul/sbn139.
- Schultze-Lutter, F., Schmidt, S., 2016. Editorial. Not just small adults the need for developmental considerations in psychopathology. In: Austin Child Adolesc. Psychiatry, 1, pp. 1–3. https://www.austinpublishinggroup.com/child-adolescent-ps ychiatry/fulltext/acap-v1-id1001.php.

Schultze-Lutter, F., Addington, J., Ruhrmann, S., Klosterkötter, J., 2007a. The Schizophrenia Proneness Instrument, Adult version (SPI-A). Giovanni Fioriti Editore, Rome.

- Schultze-Lutter, F., Ruhrmann, S., Picker, H., Von Reventlow, H.G., Brockhaus-Dumke, A., Klosterkötter, J., Graf Von Reventlow, H., Brockhaus-Dumke, A., Klosterkötter, J., Von Reventlow, H.G., Brockhaus-Dumke, A., Klosterkötter, J., 2007b. Basic symptoms in early psychotic and depressive disorders. Br. J. Psychiatry 191, s31–s37. https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.191.51.s31.
- Schultze-Lutter, F., Steinmeyer, E.M., Ruhrmann, S., Klosterkötter, J., 2008. The dimensional structure of self-reported 'prodromal' disturbances in schizophrenia. Clin. Neuropsychiatry 5, 140–150. http://www.clinicalneuropsychiatry.org /pdf/03%20schultze.pdf.
- Schultze-Lutter, F., Ruhrmann, S., Klosterkötter, J., 2009. Early detection of psychosis -Establishing a service for persons at risk. Eur. Psychiatry 24, 1–10. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2008.08.004.
- Schultze-Lutter, F., Ruhrmann, S., Fusar-Poli, P., Bechdolf, A., G. Schimmelmann, B., Klosterkotter, J., 2012. Basic symptoms and the prediction of first-episode psychosis. Curr. Pharm. Des. 18, 351–357. doi:https://doi.org/10.2174/138161212799316064
- Schultze-Lutter, F., Ruhrmann, S., Schimmelmann, B.G., Michel, C., 2013. "A rose is a rose is a rose", but at-risk criteria differ. Psychopathology 46, 75–87. https://doi. org/10.1159/000339208.

N. Jimeno et al.

- Schultze-Lutter, F., Klosterkötter, J., Ruhrmann, S., 2014. Improving the clinical prediction of psychosis by combining ultra-high risk criteria and cognitive basic symptoms. Schizophr. Res. 154, 100–106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. schres.2014.02.010.
- Schultze-Lutter, F., Michel, C., Schmidt, S.J., Schimmelmann, B.G., Maric, N.P., Salokangas, R.K.R., Riecher-Rössler, A., van der Gaag, M., Nordentoft, M., Raballo, A., Meneghelli, A., Marshall, M., Morrison, A., Ruhrmann, S., Klosterkötter, J., 2015. EPA guidance on the early intervention of clinical high risk states of psychoses. Eur. Psychiatry 30, 388–404. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. eurpsy.2015.01.013.
- Schultze-Lutter, F., Hubl, D., Schimmelmann, B.G., Michel, C., 2017. Age effect on prevalence of ultra-high risk for psychosis symptoms: replication in a clinical sample of an early detection of psychosis service. Eur. Child Adolesc. Psychiatry 26, 1401–1405. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00787-017-0994-y.
- Schultze-Lutter, F., Schimmelmann, B.G., Flückiger, R., Michel, C., 2020a. Effects of age and sex on clinical high-risk for psychosis in the community. World J. Psychiatry 10, 101–124. https://doi.org/10.5498/wjp.v10.i5.101.
- Schultze-Lutter, F., Ruhrmann, S., Michel, C., Kindler, J., Schimmelmann, B.G., Schmidt, S.J., 2020b. Age effects on basic symptoms in the community: a route to gain new insight into the neurodevelopment of psychosis? Eur. Arch. Psychiatry Clin. Neurosci. 270 (3), 311–324. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00406-018-0949-4.
- Telles-Correia, D., Moreira, A.L., Gonçalves, J.S., 2015. Hallucinations and related concepts-their conceptual background. Front. Psychol. 6, 1–9. https://doi.org/ 10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00991.

Thakur, T., Gupta, V., 2020. Auditory hallucinations, StatPearls [Internet]. StatPearls Publishing, Treasure Island (FL).

- Vignapiano, A., Koenig, T., Mucci, A., Giordano, G.M., Amodio, A., Altamura, M., Bellomo, A., Brugnoli, R., Corrivetti, G., Di Lorenzo, G., Girardi, P., Monteleone, P., Niolu, C., Galderisi, S., Maj, M., 2019. Disorganization and cognitive impairment in schizophrenia: new insights from electrophysiological findings. Int. J. Psychophysiol. 145, 99–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2019.03.008.
- Walger, H., Antonucci, L.A., Pigoni, A., Upthegrove, R., Salokangas, R.K.R., Lencer, R., Chisholm, K., Riecher-Rössler, A., Haidl, T., Meisenzahl, E., Rosen, M., Ruhrmann, S., Kambeitz, J., Kambeitz-Ilankovic, L., Falkai, P., Ruef, A., Hietala, J., Pantelis, C., Wood, S.J., Brambilla, P., Bertolino, A., Borgwardt, S., Koutsouleris, N., Schultze-Lutter, F., 2020. Basic symptoms are associated with age in patients with a Clinical High-Risk state for psychosis: results from the PRONIA Study. Front. Psychiatry 11, 552175. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2020.552175.
- Wallwork, R.S., Fortgang, R., Hashimoto, R., Weinberger, D.R., Dickinson, D., 2012. Searching for a consensus five-factor model of the Positive and Negative Syndrome Scale for schizophrenia. Schizophr. Res. 137, 246–250. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. schres.2012.01.031.
- Waters, F., Fernyhough, C., 2017. Hallucinations: a systematic review of points of similarity and difference across diagnostic classes. Schizophr. Bull. 43, 32–43. https://doi.org/10.1093/schbul/sbw132.
- Wittchen, H.U., Zaudig, M., Fydrich, T., 1997. SKID Strukturiertes klinisches Interview für DSM-IV, Achse I und II. Hogrefe, Göttingen.
- Yung, A., Phillips, L., Simmons, M., Ward, J., Thompson, P., French, P., McGorry, P., 2006. CAARMS. Comprehensive Assessment at Risk Mental States. ORYGEN Research Centre, University of Melbourne, Department of Psychiatry, Parkville Victoria, The PACE Clinic.
- Zhang, X., Ma, Z., Zhang, Z., Sun, Q., Yan, J., 2018. A review of community detection algorithms based on modularity optimization. J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 1069, 012123 https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1069/1/012123.
- Zhang, T.H., Xu, L.H., Chen, Y., Wei, Y.Y., Tang, X.C., Hu, Y.G., Li, Z.X., Gan, R.P., Wu, G. Sen, Cui, H.R., Tang, Y.Y., Hui, L., Li, C.B., Wang, J.J., 2020. Conversion to psychosis in adolescents and adults: Similar proportions, different predictors. Psychol. Med. 1–9 https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291720000756.



Dr. Natalia Jimeno is psychiatrist and ordinary Professor of Psychiatry at the University of Valladolid, Spain. She received an international training in psychopathological scales for language assessment in psychosis, and was an invited researcher at the Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Heinrich-Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany. She presently coordinates the Research Group on Clinical Neuroscience in Castile and Leon, and is ordinary member of the Royal Academy of Medicine and Surgery of Valladolid, Spain. Her main research topics are psychopathology and neurocognition in schizophrenia and other psychoses, particularly concerning the basic symptom concept.



Dr. Javier Gomez-Pilar is a member of the Biomedical Engineering Group since 2013. With a degree on Telecommunication Engineering and background in Biomedical Engineering, he is currently working as postdoctoral researcher in the University of Valladolid, Spain. His research interests include multivariate analysis, graph theory and pattern recognition of biomedical signals. His work focuses on the development of novel methodologies aimed at obtaining biomarkers of neural disorders.



Dr. Jesús Poza is member of the Biomedical Engineering Group (University of Valladolid, UVA) since 2004. He received his PhD from UVA in 2008. Currently, he is Associate Professor at the Dept. of Signal Theory and Communications (UVA). His main research interests are focused on exploring new neural signal processing methodologies, in particular using chronnectomics, to characterize central nervous system (CNS) diseases.



Dr. Roberto Hornero is Full Professor at University of Valladolid (Spain). His main research interests are connected with the field of artificial intelligence and big data in biomedical signals and medical images. He has published widely in these areas with >170 papers in indexed journals JCR and 3 international patents in USA. He is Director of the Biomedical Engineering Group at the University of Valladolid. He is also Fellow of the European Alliance for Medical and Biological Engineering & Science and Vice-President of the Spanish Biomedical Engineering Society (SEIB).



Prof. Dr. Kai Vogeley has an educational background in medicine and philosophy. He is Full Professor (C3) at the Medical Faculty of the University of Cologne, senior psychiatrist and head of the Social Cognition Group at the Department of Psychiatry of the University Hospital Cologne and head of the Social Cognition Group of the Institute of Cognitive Neuroscience (INM3) at the Research Center Juelich. Research interests cover social cognition (theory of mind, perspective taking, nonverbal communication); neurobiology of autism and schizophrenia; philosophical foundations of neurosciences and psychiatry.



Prof. Dr. Eva Meisenzahl is full professor and director of the Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Heinrich-Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany. Working in the early detection of severe mental disorders for years, she is an internationally recognized expert in the field of prevention of psychosis and, among others, is a principle investigator of the EU-funded "Personalised Prognostic Tools for Early Psychosis Management" (PRONIA) study and coordinator of the "Computer-assisted risk evaluation in early recognition of psychosis' (CARE) study on the translation of Al-based psychosis-prevention into the German health care system. She has authored >100 original publications in international journals.

Schizophrenia Research 243 (2022) 43-54

N. Jimeno et al.

Schizophrenia Research 243 (2022) 43-54



Dr. med. Theresa Lichtenstein is senior physician at the Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University Hospital of Cologne. She obtained her board certification as psychiatrist and psychotherapist in January 2020. Since 2014 Mrs. Lichtenstein is researcher at the early recognition and intervention center for psychiatric disorders (FETZ) and a recognized expert in this field.



Professor Joachim Klosterkötter, MD, was Chair of the Department and Director of the Clinic for Psychiatry and Psychotherapy at the University of Cologne from 1996 to 2015. From 2006 to 2012 he was Dean of the Medical Faculty. In 1997, he set up the first German and European Early Recognition and Intervention Centre for mental crises (FETZ) and subsequently established the basic symptom criteria used worldwide today for the identification of high-risk stages of psychosis. He is currently continuing his innovative activities for the development of predictive and preventive psychiatry nationally and internationally.



Dr. Marlene Rosen studied Psychology at the Ruprecht-Karls University of Heidelberg (Germany) and the University of Zurich (Switzerland). From 2014 to 2019, she completed her PhD at the PRONIA multicenter study and, in parallel, her qualification as a cognitive behavioral therapist. With her clinical and scientific expertise as a psychotherapist and her experiences in clinical research, she continued working at the early recognition and therapy center Cologne (FETZ) as a clinician scientist. The focus of her research is to improve her understanding of early phases of psychiatric disorders, resilience and psychosocial functioning.



Dr. Frauke Schultze-Lutter was trained in clinical psychology. She is Assistant Professor, and head of the early detection research unit and service at the Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Heinrich-Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany, Adjunct Professor at the Department of Psychology and Mental Health, Airlangga University, Surabaya, Indonesia, and guest lecturer at the University Hospital of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Bern, Switzerland. Working in the early detection of psychoses since 1994, she is an internationally recognized expert in this field. She developed the basic symptom criteria and has authored >100 original publications in international journals.



Dr. Joseph Kambeitz is a Professor in Biological Psychiatry and consultant psychiatrist at the Department of Psychiatry at the University of Cologne. He graduated at the LMU University of Munich in psychology and medicine and was trained in psychiatry and psychotherapy in Munich. In 2019, Joseph Kambeitz moved to the University of Cologne where he leads an outpatient unit for early recognition and a research group for prediction and prevention of mental the early recognition service in mental health. In his research he focuses on the use of machine-learning and computational modeling to improve mental health care.